UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,379	10/18/2005	Gerhard Eser	2003P05901WOUS	3677
	7590 01/08/200 & LLOYD, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1135		COLEMAN, KEITH A		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/553,379	ESER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KEITH COLEMAN	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 10 and 12-24 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 and 12-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r.				
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/2005. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 12-18 provides for the use of a pressure fuel system, but, since the claim does not set forth <u>any steps involved in the method/process</u>, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Applicant is reminded to see MPEP 2173.05(q);

Attempts to claim a process without setting forth any steps involved in the process generally raises an issue of indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. For example, a claim which read: "A process for using monoclonal antibodies of claim 4 to isolate and purify human fibroblast interferon." was held to be

Art Unit: 3747

indefinite because it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps

delimiting how this use is actually practiced. Ex parte Erlich, 3 USPQ2d 1011 (Bd. Pat.

App. & Inter. 1986).

In claim 12, it clearly states "a method for controlling..." without any positive

steps.

As best understood, the claim 12 is believed to be a method. However, claims

14-18 claim conditional statements and Examiner does not know whether these are use

claims or actual steps when these conditional statements are executed (i.e. determining

a desired fuel pressure, an actual fuel pressure, or a variable).

Claims 14-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter

which applicant regards as the invention.

Also, claims 10 and 12 states values above a threshold and the conditional

statements contain language such as "fuel pressure falls", "fuel flow rate falls" or

"below" which is at odds with the specification. This nebulous language was also

mentioned by the previous Examiner in the Office Action dated 6/27/2008.

As such, claims 14-18 and 20-23 were not examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3747

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10, 12, 13, 19 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amann et al. (US Patent No. 5,345,916).

With regards to claims 10 and 12, the patent to Amann et al. discloses a method for controlling a fuel pressure in a fuel supply device of an internal combustion engine having a regulator valve, the method comprising the steps of: determining a desired fuel pressure value (i.e. predetermined classic injection profiles, See Col. 4, Lines 45-55); determining an actual fuel pressure value (i.e. the fuel pressure pulses, See Figure 6); determining a variable selected from the group consisting of: a variation in a fuel flow rate and a variation in the fuel pressure (Figure 6 shows pumping rate measured in mm3/degree and fuel pressure pulses) and if the variable is above a specified threshold value then determining an actuating signal as a function of the desired fuel pressure value and controlling said regulator valve with said actuating signal (See Col. 4, Lines 30-45)

With regards to claims 13 and 19, the patent to Amann et al. discloses wherein the regulator valve is an electromagnetic regulator and an energization of the electromagnetic regulator is influenced by the actuating signal (See Col. 4, Lines 30-40).

Art Unit: 3747

With regards to claim 24, the patent to Amann et al. discloses wherein the variation in a fuel flow rate is determined by determining a gradient of the fuel flow rate (i.e. variable rate measured in mm3/deg as shown in Figure 5B, See Col. 5, and Lines 15-30).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yu (US Patent Publication 20030051709) shows the current state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEITH COLEMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3516. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Cronin can be reached on (571)272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KAC /K. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3747

/Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747